

Fixed, Projected, Casement, Hung, and Sliding Windows





Environmental Product Declaration

Conducted in accordance with ISO 14025 and ISO 21930





EPD SUMMARY

PROGRAM OPERATOR ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken,

PA, 19428. https://www.astm.org/

DECLARATION NUMBER 494

DATE OF ISSUE 12 June 2023 VALID UNTIL 11 June 2028

EPD HOLDER EFCO, LLC, a part of Apogee Enterprises, Inc.

1000 County Rd., Monett, MO 65708

https://efcocorp.com/

+1 800.221.4169

per 1 m²

MARKET OF APPLICABILITY North America, intended for B2B communication

EPD TYPE Product-specific, cradle-to-gate scope

LCA SOFTWARE SimaPro 9.4

PCR SUBCATEGORY Earthsure. "Cradle to Gate Window Product Category Rule."

September 10, 2015, v 1.02, Extended per PCR ext 2022-112, valid through September 30, 2023. Reviewed by: Tom Gloria, LCACP, Industrial Ecology Consultants, Chair; Adolf Merl, ThinkStep

GmBH; Philip Moser, Simpson Gumpertz & Heger Inc.

The declaration and LCA data were independently verified in accordance with ISO 14044:2006, ISO 14025:2006 and ISO 21930:2017. Verification was performed:

____ Internally __X__ Externally

Life cycle assessment practitioner:

Anne Landfield Greig, LCA CP, Four Elements Consulting, LLC https://www.fourelementsllc.com
anne@fourelementsllc.com

Third-party verifier:

Lindita Bushi, Ph.D., Athena Sustainable Materials Institute



EFCO, LLC

EFCO, LLC is a leading manufacturer of architectural aluminum windows, curtain walls, storefronts, and entrance systems for commercial architectural applications. Headquartered in Monett, Missouri, our mission is to be the most trusted supplier in commercial architecture. We seek to provide customers with the highest quality, innovation, value, and service.

EFCO is a part of Apogee Enterprises, Inc., a leading provider of architectural products and services for enclosing buildings and glazing products for framing art.

Product Descriptions



Fixed windows are windows that do not open. Their main function is to let in natural light. From economical solutions to historical replications, EFCO's fixed windows can be used in a variety of window combinations or as stand-alone products. EFCO fixed windows include the following product lines: Xtherm, Xtherm - XLT, 5FXT, FX45, 6615, 6621, 6715, FX32, OG32, OG45. These products fall under industry codes CSI: 08 51 00 (metal windows) and UNSPSC: 30171609 (fixed windows).

Projected and casement windows are hinged at the sides and swing outward. They are opened using levers or handles. EFCO offers a wide range of configurations that include project-in, project-out, and casement. EFCO's projected windows provide egress, ventilation, and windowwashing functionality in addition to natural light. EFCO

projected and casement windows include the following product lines: Xtherm, Xtherm - XLT, Xtherm - XP, Xtherm - X, Xtherm - G, 875V, 2700, 2701, 321 / 321G, 450G, 590X, 6725, PG32, PX32, WV410, WV430. These products fall under industry codes CSI: 08 51 00 (metal windows) and UNSPSC: 30171606 (casement windows) and 30171612 (projected windows).

A hung window opens vertically while a horizontal slider window opens horizontally from one side. EFCO's hung and horizontal sliding windows provide a wide variety of daylighting and ventilation solutions. Designed to meet demanding specifications, EFCO's windows offer a distinctive design with numerous glazing and sightline options. EFCO hung and sliding windows include the following product lines: SX45, HX32, 663, 663G, 6551. These products fall under industry codes CSI: 08 51 00 (metal windows)





and UNSPSC: 30171604 (double hung windows), 30171605 (single hung windows), and 30171607 (horizontal slider windows).

Life Cycle Assessment Overview

A cradle-to-grave Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) was completed on EFCO windows in accordance with ISO 14040 / ISO 14044, and the study was reviewed for conformance with ISO 14044, ISO 21930:2017, ASTM program operator rules, and the PCR subcategory. The product assessed was based on 2022 data from EFCO's manufacturing facilities in Missouri and Wisconsin.

System Boundaries

The LCA evaluated the cradle to gate of the window systems. This includes: raw material extraction and processing (A1), transportation of the materials to fabrication plants (A2), and manufacturing or fabrication (A3). This is depicted below in the context of the construction works life cycle (adapted from 21930:2017 Fig 1).

A1-A3 A4-A5 C1-C4 **B1-B7 PRODUCTION CONSTRUC-**Benefits **END-OF-LIFE Stage USE Stage TION Stage** Stage & Loads **A2 B5** C2 **A**1 **A3 A4 A5 B1 B2 B3 B4** C1 **C3** C4 D processing or disposal upstream production Transport to factory **Transport to waste** Waste processing Disposal of waste ecycling potential Fransport to site replacement Manufacturing Refurbishment **Extraction and** Deconstruction Maintenance Product Use Demolition nstallation Repair Scenarios **B6** Operational energy use scenario Mandatory Scenarios Scenarios Scenario **B7** Operational water use scenario

Table 1 EPD System Boundary Modules

Figure 1 presents the A1-A3 stages as they pertain to the EFCO windows and additionally provides aspects of the life cycle that are excluded from the study.








Figure 1 EFCO Windows Boundary and Data

Declared Unit

The declared unit is one square meter (1 m²) of each of these EFCO window types produced at manufacturing plants in MO and WI. A functional unit is not reported since the product system boundaries are cradle-to-gate, and no use phase over a reference service life has been modeled.

A1 Raw Material Extraction and Processing

Main		% of Total					
Materials		Hung/Slide	Fixed	Proj. & Casement			
Frame	Aluminum & polyamide	20.32%	14.06%	30.26%			
	Rubber and silicone	0.84%	1.36%	1.31%			
	Steel & Al parts	0.29%	0.02%	1.13%			
	Other	2.02%	0.30%	0.21%			
Glass Unit	Glass	67.52%	77.23%	62.05%			
	Aluminum	8.78%	6.82%	4.90%			
	Sealants and other	0.23%	0.21%	0.15%			

Module A1 accounts for the extraction of materials and production of framing, insulated glass units (IGUs), and packaging parts and components. Table 2 presents the bill of materials as a percent of the total product.

Table 2 Bill of Materials





A2 Transportation to Manufacturing

Module A2 accounts for transportation of raw materials to EFCO facilities. The distances of the parts and materials by heavy duty diesel truck were based on supplier data provided by EFCO.

A3 Manufacturing

Module A3 includes assembly of EFCO windows at their Monett, MO, Wausau, WI, and Stratford, WI facilities. 2022 energy use, emissions, and waste management were included in the model. The SPP North and MRO East electricity grids mixes were used for the Missouri and Wisconsin production plants, respectively.

Cut-off Criteria

The cut-off goal of at least 95% of all mass and energy used in the system was exceeded since all materials and energy involved in the materials systems were included.

Allocation

Data was provided on a whole-facility basis. Allocation of manufacturing energy and other facility aspects was made on a total mass basis, based on the production volume fabricated at each facility.

Software and Data Used

The SimaPro LCA software was used to model the window systems. Data came from sources appropriate for the EFCO windows, with intentional choices made for datasets having the highest quality data. Secondary data came from several databases, including Industry 2.0 for industry-average high quality LCA data, DATASMART for North American energy, transportation, parts and materials, and ecoinvent for energy, parts and materials not included in DATASMART.



Data Quality

The data applied to this study are representative of the current EFCO windows. EFCO's facilities supplied 2022 process data. Energy and transportation data are based on the high 2010's, and production data for materials are based on mid 2010's through 2022. Data for energy, transportation, materials and processes are based on a combination of North American and European sources which, where possible, were customized to reflect North American conditions. Technological coverage for the upstream materials and processes is generally industry average, and in some instances, it is typical technology.





Results and Contribution Analysis

The Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA) results were calculated using Tool for the Reduction and Assessment of Chemical and other Environmental Impacts (TRACI) v.2.1, a North American impact assessment methodology. Global Warming Potential is based on IPCC 6th Assessment. Abiotic Depletion Potential for fossil fuels is based on CML's baseline methodology. Three sets of tables are presented for each product. LCIA results for cradle to gate totals are in the first two tables, showing A1, A2, and A3 as absolute values and as percentages. In the third table, the Life Cycle Inventory (LCI), or non-LCIA inventory metrics, are calculated in accordance with the ACLCA (2019) Guidance.

Table 3 Fixed Window Impact Assessment Results - absolute values

Per 1 m ² Declared Unit			Materials production	Transport to facility	Manuf- acturing
Impact Categories - LCIA	Unit	TOTAL	A1	A2	А3
Global warming potential	kg CO2-e	94.38	84.86	3.37	6.16
Acidification potential	kg SO2-e	0.63	0.58	0.02	0.04
Eutrophication potential	kg N-e	0.19	0.19	0.00	0.00
Smog creation potential	kg O3-e	7.02	6.11	0.53	0.38
Ozone depletion potential	kg CFC11-e	4.67 E-06	4.61 E-06	5.91 E-09	5.71 E-08
ADP fossil	MJ (LHV)	1056.05	934.21	42.00	79.84
Total energy (used as fuel)	MJ (LHV)	1277.97	1134.41	42.71	100.85

Table 4 Fixed Window Impact Assessment Results - percentages

Per 1 m ² Declared Unit			Materials production	Transport to facility	Manuf- acturing
Impact Categories – LCIA	Unit	TOTAL	A1	A2	А3
Global warming potential	kg CO2-e	94.38	89.90%	3.57%	6.53%
Acidification potential	kg SO2-e	0.63	90.77%	2.92%	6.31%
Eutrophication potential	kg N-e	0.19	97.61%	0.92%	1.47%
Smog creation potential	kg O3-e	7.02	87.01%	7.62%	5.37%
Ozone depletion potential	kg CFC11-e	4.67 E-06	98.65%	0.13%	1.22%
ADP fossil	MJ (LHV)	1056.05	88.46%	3.98%	7.56%
Total energy (used as fuel)	MJ (LHV)	1277.97	88.77%	3.34%	7.89%

Note: numbers may not add to 100% due to rounding. 0% implies less than 0.1%.





Table 5 Fixed Window Inventory Results

Per 1 m ² Declared Unit			Materials production	Transport to facility	Manuf- acturing
Additional Categories – LCI	Unit	TOTAL	A1	A2	А3
Resource Use: Energy					
Non-renewable primary energy – fuel	MJ (LHV)	1161.92	1028.60	42.61	90.71
Non-renewable primary engy. res raw materials	MJ (LHV)	4.29	4.29	N/A	0.00
Renewable primary energy – fuel	MJ (LHV)	116.05	105.82	0.10	10.14
Renewable primary engy. res raw materials	MJ (LHV)	2.57 E-09	2.57 E-09	N/A	0.00
Resource use: Materials					
Use of secondary materials	Kg	3.31	3.31	N/A	0.00
Use of renewable secondary fuels	MJ (LHV)	0.00	N/A	N/A	0.00
Use of non-renewable secondary fuels	MJ (LHV)	0.00	N/A	N/A	0.00
Use of recovered energy	MJ (LHV)	0.00	N/A	N/A	0.00
Use of net fresh water (inputs minus outputs)	m³	0.67	0.66	3.73 E-04	7.41 E-03
Waste categories					
Non-hazardous waste disposed	Kg	0.20	N/A	N/A	0.20
Hazardous waste disposed	Kg	0.00	N/A	N/A	0.00
High-level radioactive waste	Kg	3.59 E-04	3.25 E-04	1.80 E-06	3.18 E-05
Intermediate- & low-level radioactive waste	Kg	1.06 E-03	9.81 E-04	4.00 E-06	7.08 E-05
Other output flows					
Components for reuse	kg	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.00
Materials for recycling	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Materials for energy recovery	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Exported energy	MJ (LHV)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00





Table 6 Projected and Casement Window Impact Assessment Results – absolute values

Per 1 m ² Declared Unit			Materials production	Transport to facility	Manuf- acturing
Impact Categories - LCIA	Unit	TOTAL	A1	A2	А3
Global warming potential	kg CO2-e	146.28	137.00	3.62	5.67
Acidification potential	kg SO2-e	0.91	0.85	0.02	0.04
Eutrophication potential	kg N-e	0.33	0.33	0.00	0.00
Smog creation potential	kg O3-e	9.60	8.68	0.57	0.35
Ozone depletion potential	kg CFC11-e	6.01 E-06	5.94 E-06	6.35 E-09	6.09 E-08
ADP fossil	MJ (LHV)	1644.57	1526.08	45.13	73.37
Total energy (used as fuel)	MJ (LHV)	2002.02	1860.43	45.89	95.69

Table 7 Projected and Casement Window Impact Assessment Results – percentages

Per 1 m ² Declared Unit			Materials production	Transport to facility	Manuf- acturing
Impact Categories – LCIA	Unit	TOTAL	A1	A2	А3
Global warming potential	kg CO2-e	146.28	93.65%	2.47%	3.87%
Acidification potential	kg SO2-e	0.91	93.69%	2.20%	4.11%
Eutrophication potential	kg N-e	0.33	98.64%	0.57%	0.79%
Smog creation potential	kg O3-e	9.60	90.41%	5.98%	3.60%
Ozone depletion potential	kg CFC11-e	6.01 E-06	98.88%	0.11%	1.01%
ADP fossil	MJ (LHV)	1644.57	92.80%	2.74%	4.46%
Total energy (used as fuel)	MJ (LHV)	2002.02	92.93%	2.29%	4.78%

Note: numbers may not add to 100% due to rounding. 0% implies less than 0.1%.





Table 8 Projected and Casement Window Inventory Results

Per 1 m ² Declared Unit			Materials production	Transport to facility	Manuf- acturing
Additional Categories – LCI	Unit	TOTAL	A1	A2	А3
Resource Use: Energy					
Non-renewable primary energy – fuel	MJ (LHV)	1806.40	1675.46	45.79	85.15
Non-renewable primary engy. res raw materials	MJ (LHV)	11.31	11.31	N/A	0.00
Renewable primary energy – fuel	MJ (LHV)	195.62	184.98	0.10	10.54
Renewable primary engy. res raw materials	MJ (LHV)	6.78 E-09	6.78 E-09	N/A	0.00
Resource use: Materials					
Use of secondary materials	Kg	8.72	8.72	N/A	0.00
Use of renewable secondary fuels	MJ (LHV)	0.00	N/A	N/A	0.00
Use of non-renewable secondary fuels	MJ (LHV)	0.00	N/A	N/A	0.00
Use of recovered energy	MJ (LHV)	0.00	N/A	N/A	0.00
Use of net fresh water (inputs minus outputs)	m³	1.12	1.11	4.00 E-04	7.57 E-03
Waste categories					
Non-hazardous waste disposed	Kg	0.20	N/A	N/A	0.20
Hazardous waste disposed	Kg	0.00	N/A	N/A	0.00
High-level radioactive waste	Kg	6.10 E-04	5.74 E-04	1.93 E-06	3.44 E-05
Intermediate- & low-level radioactive waste	Kg	1.69 E-03	1.61 E-03	4.30 E-06	7.68 E-05
Other output flows					
Components for reuse	kg	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.00
Materials for recycling	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Materials for energy recovery	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Exported energy	MJ (LHV)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00





Table 9 Hung and Sliding Window Impact Assessment Results – absolute values

Per 1 m ² Declared Unit			Materials production	Transport to facility	Manuf- acturing
Impact Categories - LCIA	Unit	TOTAL	A1	A2	А3
Global warming potential	kg CO2-e	132.15	122.89	3.62	5.65
Acidification potential	kg SO2-e	0.83	0.77	0.02	0.04
Eutrophication potential	kg N-e	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.00
Smog creation potential	kg O3-e	8.86	7.95	0.57	0.34
Ozone depletion potential	kg CFC11-e	5.51 E-06	5.41 E-06	6.35 E-09	9.27 E-08
ADP fossil	MJ (LHV)	1502.78	1384.67	45.13	72.99
Total energy (used as fuel)	MJ (LHV)	1843.16	1691.15	45.89	106.12

Table 10 Hung and Sliding Window Impact Assessment Results - percentages

Per 1 m ² Declared Unit			Materials production	Transport to facility	Manuf- acturing
Impact Categories – LCIA	Unit	TOTAL	A1	A2	А3
Global warming potential	kg CO2-e	132.15	92.99%	2.74%	4.27%
Acidification potential	kg SO2-e	0.83	92.88%	2.41%	4.71%
Eutrophication potential	kg N-e	0.29	98.39%	0.64%	0.97%
Smog creation potential	kg O3-e	8.86	89.66%	6.48%	3.85%
Ozone depletion potential	kg CFC11-e	5.51 E-06	98.20%	0.12%	1.68%
ADP fossil	MJ (LHV)	1502.78	92.14%	3.00%	4.86%
Total energy (used as fuel)	MJ (LHV)	1843.16	91.75%	2.49%	5.76%

Note: numbers may not add to 100% due to rounding. 0% implies less than 0.1%.





Table 11 Hung and Sliding Window Inventory Results

Per 1 m ² Declared Unit			Materials production	Transport to facility	Manuf- acturing
Additional Categories – LCI	Unit	TOTAL	A1	A2	А3
Resource Use: Energy					
Non-renewable primary energy – fuel	MJ (LHV)	1663.96	1526.56	45.79	91.61
Non-renewable primary engy. res raw materials	MJ (LHV)	9.44	9.44	N/A	0.00
Renewable primary energy – fuel	MJ (LHV)	179.20	164.59	0.10	14.51
Renewable primary engy. res raw materials	MJ (LHV)	5.20 E-09	5.20 E-09	N/A	0.00
Resource use: Materials					
Use of secondary materials	Kg	6.25	6.25	N/A	0.00
Use of renewable secondary fuels	MJ (LHV)	0.00	N/A	N/A	0.00
Use of non-renewable secondary fuels	MJ (LHV)	0.00	N/A	N/A	0.00
Use of recovered energy	MJ (LHV)	0.00	N/A	N/A	0.00
Use of net fresh water (inputs minus outputs)	m³	1.01	1.00	4.00 E-04	8.99 E-03
Waste categories					
Non-hazardous waste disposed	Kg	0.20	N/A	N/A	0.20
Hazardous waste disposed	Kg	0.00	N/A	N/A	0.00
High-level radioactive waste	Kg	5.33 E-04	4.77 E-04	1.93 E-06	5.44 E-05
Intermediate- & low-level radioactive waste	Kg	1.51 E-03	1.39 E-03	4.30 E-06	1.21 E-04
Other output flows					
Components for reuse	kg	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.00
Materials for recycling	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Materials for energy recovery	kg	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Exported energy	MJ (LHV)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



Additional Environmental Information

At end of life, approximately 96% of the total mass of the product may be recycled. This includes the aluminum framing and glass plus the additional aluminum and steel components in the product.

No substances in the EFCO windows are on the Candidate List of Substances of Very High Concern. The are no materials present in over 0.1% by mass of the product that are hazardous to human health and the environment.

Performance Standards & Certifications

The EFCO windows are tested, certified & labeled for the following performance standards:

- AAMA TIR A-11 Maximum Allowable Deflection of Framing Systems for Building Cladding Components at Design Wind Loads
- AAMA 501.1 Standard Test Method for Water Penetration of Windows, Curtain Walls and Doors Using Dynamic Pressure
- ASTM E283 Standard Test Method for Determining Rate of Air Leakage Through Exterior Windows, Curtain Walls, and Doors under Specified Pressure Differences Across the Specimen
- ASTM E330 Standard Test Method for Structural Performance of Exterior Windows, Doors, Skylights and Curtain Walls by Uniform Static Air Pressure Difference
- ASTM E331 Standard Test Method for Water Penetration of Exterior Windows, Skylights, Doors, and Curtain Walls by Uniform Static Air Pressure Difference
- AAMA 1503, NFRC 100 Thermal Transmittance, U-Factors
- AAMA 1503, NFRC 500 Condensation Rating (CRF,CI)
- NFRC 200 Overall Solar Heat Gain Coefficient and Visible Transmittance (SHGC & VT)

Limitations & Comparability

EPDs are not intended for making comparisons with other products due to varying background data in LCA softwares and/or varying Program Operator or Product Category Rules. For example, Product Category Rules may present different modeling decisions or impact category requirements. Different LCA software and background LCI datasets may lead to different results in the life cycle stages declared.

Full conformance with the PCR for products allows EPD comparability only when all stages of a life cycle have been considered, including the product's Use phase in a building. Variations and deviations, as noted above, are likely. If comparisons to other EPDs are done, these variations and deviations must be acknowledged. EPDs are comparable only if they comply with ISO 21930: 2017, use the same sub-category PCR,





include all relevant information modules, and are based on equivalent scenarios with respect to the context of construction works.

References

Aluminum Extruders Council (AEC), Environmental Product Declaration of Aluminum Extrusions: Mill Finished, Painted, and Anodized. Declaration number 4790414446.101.1. Dated 1 Nov 2022, and valid 5 years.

The American Centre for Life Cycle Assessment (ACLCA), May, 2019. Guidance to Calculating Non-LCIA Inventory Metrics in Accordance with ISO 21930:2017.

ASTM Program Operator Rules. Version: 8.0, Revised 29 April 2020.

CML-IA is a LCA methodology developed by the Center of Environmental Science (CML) of Leiden University in The Netherlands. It is an update of the CML 2 baseline 2000 and corresponds to the files published by CML in August 2016 (version 4.7). More information at: http://cml.leiden.edu/software/data-cmlia.html.

Earthsure. "Cradle to Gate Window Product Category Rule." September 10, 2015, v 1.02, Extended per PCR ext 2022-112, valid through September 30, 2023. Earthsure PCR Cradle-to-Gate 30171600:2015.

European Commission 2015, Candidate List of substance of very high concern, found at: http://echa.europa.eu/candidate-list-table.

IPCC, 2021: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, In press, doi:10.1017/9781009157896.

Four Elements Consulting, LLC, Life Cycle Assessment of EFCO and Wausau Window and Wall Systems Window Products (report in Preparation for an Environmental Product Declaration), dated June 2023.

ISO 14040:2006/Amd1:2020, The International Standard of the International Standardization Organization, Environmental management. Life cycle assessment. Principles and framework.

ISO 14044:2006/Amd1:2017/AMD 2:2020, Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – Requirements and guidelines.

ISO 14025:2006, Environmental Labels and Declarations – Types III Environmental Declarations – Principles and Procedures.





ISO 21930:2017 Sustainability in buildings and civil engineering works – Core rules for environmental product declarations of construction products and services.

Ecoinvent Centre, Ecoinvent data v3.8 (Dübendorf: Swiss Centre for Life Cycle Inventories, 2021), used from SimaPro; also found http://www.ecoinvent.org.

Industry Data 2.0 (2019), an LCA database provided within the SimaPro software suite of databases, containing high-quality industry-submitted LCA data.

LTS. 2020. DATASMART LCI package, used from SimaPro; also found at http://ltsexperts.com/services/software/datasmart-life-cycle-inventory/.

National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL): U.S. Life-Cycle Inventory Database. 2005. Golden, CO, used from SimaPro; also found at: http://www.nrel.gov/lci/database.

PRé Consultants: SimaPro 9.4 LCA Software. 2022. The Netherlands.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ORD/NRMRL/Sustainable Technology Division Systems Analysis Branch STD Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) SOP No. S-10637-OP-1-0 (2012). Tool for the Reduction and Assessment of Chemical and other Environmental Impacts (TRACI) version 2.1 - User's Manual. Washington, D.C.: U.S. EPA.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID), issued by the U.S. EPA, providing sources of electric power generated in the United States. See: https://www.epa.gov/egrid/power-profiler#/. Retrieved in March, 2023.

